

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,852	12/14/2000	Axel Schamal	225/49355	5694
23911 75	90 02/19/2002			
CROWELL & MORING LLP			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUA P.O. BOX 1430	AL PROPERTY GROUP 0		REIS, TRAVIS M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			1071017	DADED MUMBED
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		#q \$ 0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/674,852	SCHAMAL, AXEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Travis M Reis ,	2859
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover she to	vith the correspond nc addr ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	November 2000 .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		ı
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) $igtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2000</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abe	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	7 1	
Attachment(s)	, ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Datast and Trademad. Office	· - <del>-</del>	

Art Unit: 2859

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawyer (U.S. Patent 5678703).

With reference to claims 1, 2, 6, & 7, Sawyer discloses a device (12) comprising a spike/screw (15) for fitting into a hole, and an attachment element (11) having an essentially hemispherical or partially spherical shell, with an insert (20) arranged within the shell which can be connected releasably to the spike and, with the spike fitted into the hole, rests on the component surface (15) surrounding the hole (Figures 1 & 2). Sawyer does not disclose the attachment element being produced of any particular material.

The particular types of materials used to make the insert and shell, absent any criticality, is only considered to be the use of a "preferred" or "optimum" material out of a plurality of well known materials that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have find obvious to provide using routine experimentation based, among other things, on the intended use of Applicant's apparatus, i.e., suitability for the intended use of Applicant's apparatus. See <u>In re</u>
Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960) where the court stated that a selection of a

Art Unit: 2859

material on the basis of suitability for intended use of an apparatus would be entirely obvious. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to make the insert out of magnetic material and the shell out of non-magnetic material in order that the spike through a hole surrounded by a metallic surface of a component would adhere firmly and not drop away.

With reference to claims 3 & 4, Sawyer discloses the spike has an upper part (19) which is designed with a screw thread, can be passed through the insert and can be screwed to the inside of the shell (Figures 1 & 2).

With reference to claims 5 & 8-10, Official Notice is taken with respect to the fastening of the spike in an asymmetrical manner to the attachment element since it is very well known in the art to use that anything can be connected in an asymmetrical manner to anything. Thus, to include fastening of a spike in an asymmetrical manner to the attachment element as claimed would have been no invention and obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since the device will be more likely the majority of time to be connected in an asymmetrical manner than in a perfectly symmetrical manner.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hall discloses a locator for use in forming metal articles (U.S. Patent 2419134). Poland discloses a hole-centering adapter in a coordinate measurement machine (U.S. Patent 3389472). Anderson discloses a centering device for a lathe (U.S. Patent 2484801). Friemark discloses gageplugs for measuring the

Art Unit: 2859

center distance between tapped holes (U.S. Patent 3308545). Papps et al. discloses a hole position gauging means USP 3026621). Kusaki discloses a centering device for a hole (JP 01224609 A).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Travis M Reis whose telephone number is (703) 305-4771. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00--5:00 Monday--Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Travis M Reis Examiner Art Unit 2859 tmr February 8, 2002 Diego Gutierrez Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Travis M Reis Examiner Art Unit 2859

tmr June 14, 2002 Diego Gutierrez Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800